

DECISION OF THE STEWARDS HEARING

Organising Club	MSVR/BRSCC	Date	12 - 13 July 2025
Type Of Event	Circuit Racing - Car Race	Status	Interclub
Venue (<i>Inc. Circuit Layout</i>)	Snetterton 300	Permit No	200954

Reason for hearing: Appeal ☒ Referral from Clerk of the Course ☐ Right of Review ☐ Protest ☐

Please fill out the below details below where applicable:

Name:	SVG Motorsport
Competition / Entrant Licence No:	354282
Issuing ASN (If not Motorsport UK):	
Competition Number in Event:	39
Race / Class:	Protyre Motorsport Ginetta GT Championship, Race 9

The Stewards heard evidence from the following persons:

Andy Smith, the team manager of SVG Motorsport; Charlie Snell, Assistant Clerk of the Course; Andrew Roberts, Deputy Clerk of the Course. The Team's driver advisor was not allowed to participate in the hearing as he was not the Entrant. The driver of car #39 had already left the Circuit when the hearing commenced and Mr. Smith was content for the appeal to go ahead in his absence.

The Stewards decision is:

the appeal fails and the decision of the Clerk of the Course is upheld.

Reason(s) for this decision:

See the attached sheet.



DECISION OF THE STEWARDS HEARING

The appeal fee is: RETURNED ☐ FORFEIT ☒

The licence is: RETAINED ☐ ENDORSED ☐

The competitor has not made payment at the Event and undertakes to settle the payment to Motorsport UK in accordance with the regulations.

Yes ☒ No ☐

	NAME	SIGNATURE
Motorsport UK Steward	Alex Maunders	A. Maunders
Event Steward	Ian Hattersley	I. Hattersley
Event Steward	David Evans	D. Evans

Timed at: 17:24

Date: 13 July 2025

I, being the Driver / Entrant, acknowledge receipt of the above Stewards Decision:

Name: Andy Smith

Signature: A. Smith

Timed at: 17:25

Date: 13 July 2025

YOU ARE REMINDED OF YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Reason(s) for this decision:

At the start of the hearing Mr. Smith clarified his appeal form and made clear he was only appealing the appropriateness of the penalty.

After hearing evidence from Mr. Smith, Mr. Snell, and Mr. Roberts the Stewards find that a hearing with the Clerk of the Course took place at which all were present. At that hearing Mr. Smith admitted that his driver had weaved after the 'bomb hole'. Mr. Roberts then announced his decision and gave driver of car #39 a 5 second time penalty. Mr. Snell then gave an indication, in response to a question by Mr. Smith, that the five second time penalty would not impact his driver's class podium finish. Candidly at the Stewards' hearing Mr. Snell accepted that he was wrong about this, apologised, and accepted it did indeed impact the driver of car #39's podium position when Mr. Smith had approached him after that hearing.

Whilst it is unfortunate that Mr. Snell made this error the Stewards find that this does not impact the appropriateness of the penalty for the following reasons:

1. Mr. Smith knew a breach of the NCR had been found and the type of penalty given before Mr. Snell intervened.
2. It was clearly a time penalty and not a reprimand.
3. There has been no injustice as Mr. Smith has made an appeal in which he was able to fully present his case to the Stewards and he was, along with the Stewards, able to ask questions of Mr. Snell and Mr. Roberts.

Turning to the appropriateness of the penalty the Stewards find the penalty was wholly appropriate for the offence because, based on the evidence of Mr. Roberts and other decisions, this has been entirely consistent with other penalties given for the same offence at this Event.